

Sustainability in the making: the case of new sanitation in the Netherlands



Reinder Boomsma

reinder.boomsma@wetsus.nl

Motivation

In the Netherlands, sanitation developments were mostly focused on uniformity, scaling up, and end-of-pipe cleaning of wastewater. Although this linear way of dealing with wastewater improved the public health and water quality, its demand for energy and resources is high [1]. Therefore, solutions are needed to improve the way wastewater is treated and that allow for the recovery of resources. Separating wastewater streams at the source is one way to achieve that, which is referred to as 'new sanitation'. Compared to the conventional approach, in which inhabitants were separated from the wastewater chain, new sanitation focuses on separating waste streams and recovering resources, and aims to make inhabitants more engaged with and conscious of this wastewater chain [1].

Socio-technological challenge

New sanitation techniques might be difficult to adapt to the current infrastructure, regulations might hinder new sanitation techniques, and end-users and institutional actors might not be benevolent towards sanitation changes. Unlike the technical aspects of new sanitation, which seem relatively mature, the social aspects seem to be more complex in different contexts [2]. Therefore, the challenge is to assess possible barriers and find ways to overcome them in a process of system change in the context of the Netherlands. In this PhD project, the theories of Responsible Research and Innovation (RRI) and Learning for System Innovation (LSI) are used - that have not yet been applied to sanitation specifically - to understand how new sanitation contributes to a transition of the centralized sanitation system.

- In short, RRI is - with its four dimensions (Figure 1) - a process of taking care of the future through collective stewardship of science and innovation in the present [3].
- This goes hand in hand with socio-technical system innovation [4], in which social learning is underlying [5] (Figure 2).




Figure 1 – Responsible Research and Innovation (based on [3])

Figure 2 – Social learning (based on [6])




Figure 3 – Illustration of a sanitation transition in which sanitation and the actors represent the socio-technical dimensions of the system to change.

Research goals

- Contribute to new sanitation projects by studying them from a social scientific perspective, using the theories of RRI and LSI.
- Contribute to the process of a running sanitation project by using and applying the theories of RRI and LSI, and insights from the studied sanitation projects.
- Contribute to the literature on RRI and LSI by studying new sanitation projects.
- By approaching new sanitation from a socio-technical perspective, to contribute to a potential sanitation transition.

References

[1] STOWA, Saniwijzer (2017-2018)
 [2] Joveniaux, A., Legrand, M., Esculier, F., & De Gouvello, B. (2022). Towards the development of source separation and valorization of human excreta? Emerging dynamics and prospects in France. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 10, 2388.
 [3] Stilgoe, J., Owen, R., & Macnaghten, P. (2020). Developing a framework for responsible innovation. *The Ethics of Nanotechnology, Geoengineering, and Clean Energy*, 347-359.
 [4] Rip, A. (2020). Introduction of new technology: making use of recent insights from sociology and economics of technology. *Emerging Technologies*, 31-45.
 [5] Van Mierlo, B., Leeuwis, C., Smits, R., & Woolthuis, R. K. (2010). Learning towards system innovation: Evaluating a systemic instrument. *Technological forecasting and social change*, 77(2), 318-334.
 [6] Beers, P. J., Van Mierlo, B., & Hoes, A. C. (2016). Toward an integrative perspective on social learning in system innovation initiatives. *Ecology and Society*, 21(1).